

8/3/77

Mr. Henry Wade  
District Attorney  
Dallas County Government Center  
Dallas, Texas 75202

Dear Henry,

Your letter of the 29th is helpful but it comes too late for me to include any new information in the affidavit.

Thank you for it. Please also thank your investigator.

When I can I will still follow up on these things. Perhaps it will yet become necessary in the litigation.

Maybe I'll be able to ask someone in Dallas to do this before long.

I don't remember anything on the "missed" shot in your files. All I remember related to the Ruby case.

If I can do nothing else in this particular case, which really does get to the evidence of the body of the crime, I want to make as good a court record as I can. Whether or not it now attracts any attention does not interest me. That it makes a public record for the future if not for the present does interest me.

There were two doctors I wanted to ask for affidavits, Malcolm Perry and Charles Carriaco. I was told they had both left Dallas, I think for Portland, Oregon or some other place in the northwest. When it is no burden for your investigator I'd appreciate it if he could get their current addresses for me.

I know what both can say, enough & if not all. I've interviewed both and formed good impressions of both. I also believe that Perry in particular was leaned on very heavily. He was still hedging a little the last time I spoke to him but he did not hide that the anterior neck wound was from the front from the ring of bruising around it. Carriaco was quite explicit that this wound was entirely above the collar. He was the first doctor in the emergency room. He had to look at that area because the first thing he did was unbutton a few of the shirt buttons to listen to the chest.

Maybe this give you an idea of why it is not claimed there are no reports on the spectrographic and neutron activation analyses, what I'm suing for.

All this and more is consistent with withholding almost everything from you when you had a case in court. You can get another example, also involved in this litigation, from Jesse Curry's book. He reproduces an FBI lab report of 11/23/63 beginning on page 91. Assuming that the language holds any meaning, and I do not, it is obvious that there was no comparison made with the essential specimens Q1 and Q8. The first, Q1, is the bullet from the hospital, the second the unfired round in the rifle. This document is now represented as the "formal report" on all the tests, many of which had not been begun.

What I am really saying, if only in part, is that I have the unquestionable proof of what we both agree on, that more than one person committed that crime. I do not have what you can take to court. However, if you ever have any need for any of my records be assured that you are welcome to them.

Again my thanks and

best wishes

Harold Weisberg